



Next-level approach to AMR and TCMR diagnosis in kidney transplantation; the dynamic duo of urine CXCL10 and donor-derived cell free DNA.

Daniel Fantus^{1,2,3}, Robert Balshaw⁵, Silvia Casas⁴, Thierry Viard⁴, Narin Tangprasertchai⁴, Justin Belair², Claude Daniel⁶, Chee Loong Saw⁶, Julie Ho⁵, Heloise Cardinal^{1,2,3}

1. Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montreall, QC, Canada.

- 2. Immunopathology, Centre de Recherche de CHUM, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- 3. Division of Nephrology, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CHUM), Montreal, QC, Canada.
- 4. Research and Development Group, CareDx, Brisbane, CA, United States.
- 5. Internal Medicine and Immunology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada.
- 6. HLA Laboratory, Hematology Division, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, QC, Canada.

Multiple-Regression Analysis: AMR vs no rejection

Biomarker	OR (95% CI)	P value
Serum Creatinine	0.55 (0.03-11.9)	0.69
Urine CXCL10	1.12 (0.43-2.76)	0.8
dd-cfDNA	3.74 (1.54-13)	0.011



• Background:

•Alloimmune injury, including TCMR and AMR, remains the primary cause of kidney allograft failure today •Kidney transplant biopsies remain the gold- standard for diagnosis of rejection but these are invasive, resourceintensive, subject to sampling error, interpretation bias and difficult to use as a tool to monitor alloimmune activity over time (including rejection resolution)

•In contrast, serum creatinine is non specific for rejection

•Donor-specific antibody (DSA) has been shown to correlate with AMR and allograft loss but there are no recommendations on surveillance frequency and both TCMR and AMR can occur in the absence of DSA

• Hypothesis

While elevated dd-cfDNA reflects glomerulitis and peritubular capillaritis, as seen in AMR, elevated urine CXCL10 reflects more tubulitis, characteristic of TCMR and mixed rejection

Due to these complementary properties, we hypothesized that use of these 2 biomarkers together would improve the diagnosis of mixed rejection phenotypes

Methodology

•Single Center, Case Control Study (CHUM Transplant Biobank)

•Inclusion Criteria: adult renal transplant recipients over 18 years of age who underwent an adequate allograft biopsy (for-cause or protocol) associated with both paired urine and plasma samples

•Exclusion criteria: simultaneous dual organ transplant recipients

•Rejection diagnoses were classified by adhering to the Banff 2022 criteria



Black: Creatinir	ne+DSA+CXCL10+dd-cfDNA
Orange:Creatin	inie+DSA+dd-cfDNA
Red: Creatinine	+DSA
Blue: Creatinin	e+DSA+CXCL10

Multiple-Regression Analysis: TCMR vs no rejection

Biomarker	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
Serum Creatinine	2.18 (0.38-14.1)	0.38	
Urine CXCL10	1.61 (1.09-2.60)	0.03	
dd-cfDNA	1.26 (0.70-2.34)	0.44	

•Urine CXCL10 was measured at the Chemokine laboratory at the University of Manitoba using the Meso Scale V-Plex assay.

•Cell free DNA was extracted blindly from EDTA plasma samples (Brisbane, California)

• Percent donor derived cell free DNA calculated using the CareDx AlloSeq cfDNA kit (Brisbane, California).

Demographics & history, Main analysis set (later biopsies).

	Characteristic	N = 96	
Results	recipient age at biopsy, Median (IQR)	52 (40 – 60)	
	recipient sex, n (%)		
	F	30 (31)	
	Μ	66 (69)	
	donor age, Median (IQR)	51 (37 – 57)	
	donor sex, n (%)		
	F	46 (48)	
	Μ	50 (52)	
	living donor, n (%)	25 (26)	
	cause of renal disease, n (%)		
	Glomerular Disease	21 (26)	
	Polycystic Kidney Disease	26 (32)	
	Diabetes	15 (18)	
	Vascular	8 (9.8)	
	Genetic	4 (4.9)	
	Other	8 (9.8) •dd-cfD	NA alor
	Unknown	14	



Orange:Creatininie+dd-cfDNA Red: Creatinine Blue: Creatinine+CXCL10

Conclusion

ne outperforms urine CXCL10 alone as a diagnostic biomarker of clinical AMR

•Addition of dd-cfDNA improves the non-invasive diagnosis of clinical AMR when added to SOC biomarkers (serum

