

Solid-Organ Transplants for Justice-Involved Patients: The Role of the C/L Psychiatrist



Grace L. Johnson MD,^{1,2} Leslie C. Hassett MLS AHP,² Mohit Chauhan MBBS,³ Kianna Nguyen BS,² Jhilam Biswas MD,¹ Barbara Lubrano di Ciccone MD FACLP³ ¹Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ²Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; ³Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, USA

BACKGROUND

- Consultation-liaison (C/L) psychiatrists often conduct psychosocial evaluations to assess patient suitability for organ transplant.
- In 2015, the United Network for Organ Sharing declared that prisoner status should not preclude consideration for transplant.¹
- Nevertheless, justice-involved patients (i.e., patients currently or formerly incarcerated) face significant barriers to accessing transplant services.

METHODS

- We conducted a comprehensive search of several databases to identify articles addressing solid-organ transplantation with justice-involved patients as recipients.
- Searches were conducted in several databases including Ovid Medline, Ovid PsycINFO, Ovid Embase, Scopus via Elsevier, Academic Search Premier via EBSCOhost, and Google Scholar.
- Search keywords: (incarcerate* or inmate* or offender* or prisoner* or convict* or criminal* or felon* or parole or justice- or jail*) AND (transplant* or kidney* or liver or lung* or pancreas* or intestine* or organ or organs or heart) AND (candidate* or recipient* or allocat* or ration* or deserv*). Corresponding controlled vocabulary terms were used when available.
- Two authors independently screened articles for inclusion and resolved discrepancies.
- A preliminary review of full-text articles identified 3 broad themes: ethical, legal, and logistical.

RESULTS

- 23 articles met criteria for full-text review, including:
- Narrative reviews (n=12)
- Case reports or series (n=5)
- Surveys (n=3)
- Perspective pieces (n=2)
- Qualitative studies (n=1)
- Patient populations included:
- Currently incarcerated patients (n=18)
- Previously incarcerated patients (n=5)
- Ethical, legal, and psychosocial themes are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Overview of Themes and Considerations for Transplant in Justice-Involved Patients

Transplant in Justice-Involved Patients		
Broad Themes	Specific Considerations	
Ethical	Societal justice vs. medical justice: While societal justice asserts that states may allocate scarce resources according to "social worth" criteria, medical justice maintains that physicians should treat patients according to medical need alone. Avoidance of "double-punishment": The sentence for a crime is incarceration, not denial of medical care.	
Legal	Right to medical care: Prior rulings in the United States and Australia have argued that incarcerated individuals have a right to basic medical care. ² Denial of medical care is considered "cruel and unusual punishment" and is thus prohibited under the Eighth Amendment. These rulings do not encompass individuals who have been released from prison.	
Logistical	Financial cost: While prisons and formerly incarcerated individuals may struggle to pay for transplant care, kidney transplantation is associated with cost savings for patients with end-stage renal disease. Follow-up: Individuals who receive transplants during incarceration have high rates of loss to follow-up. Social support: Justice-involved individuals may lack social support networks, making it difficult to identify caregivers post-transplant. Transplant center policies: Many transplant centers are unwilling to transplant patients while incarcerated, making it difficult for justice-involved patients to access care.	

DISCUSSION

- When medically appropriate, justice-involved patients warrant evaluation and listing for transplant from ethical and legal standpoints.
- Numerous barriers to transplant remain. C/L psychiatrists play an important role in advocating for appropriate patient care (Table 2).
- A limitation of our study is that we did not have access to legal databases. We identified legal journal articles and cases via Google Scholar.

CONCLUSIONS

- From ethical and legal standpoints, prior literature supports the evaluation and listing of justiceinvolved patients to receive organ transplants.
- CL psychiatrists are uniquely positioned members of the transplant team to advocate for justiceinvolved patients to receive appropriate care.

Table 2. Bias in Transplant Selection and Proposed Healthcare Interventions			
Level of Bias	Examples	Proposed interventions	
Institutional	Many transplant centers have blanket exclusions of justice-involved patients.3	Criminal history should only inform transplant candidacy if deemed relevant to post-transplant adherence to medical follow-up and recommendations.	
	Patients of color are disproportionately incarcerated in the U.S. ⁴	Routine psychiatric follow-up should be considered for transplant candidates with history of psychosocial stressors such as current/former incarceration.	
	Patients with mental illness are disproportionately incarcerated in the U.S. ⁵		
Individual	Clinicians may have explicit or implicit assumptions about justice-involved patients.6	Psychosocial assessments should follow standardized best-practice formats to minimize risk for bias.	
		Psychiatrists must be mindful of possible countertransference reactions when conducting assessments.	
		Consultation with a colleague or supervisor may be beneficial and necessary.	

REFERENCES

- 1. Convicted Criminals and Transplant Evaluation: Ethics Committee Position Statement. United Network for Organ Sharing, 2015.
- 2. McKinney B, Winslade W, Stone T, Conor H, Lawry A, Dawkins F, et al. Offender organ transplants: Law, ethics, economics, and health policy. J Hous J Health L & Pol'y. 2009;9:39-69.
- 3. Faber LS, Lyons T, Davis MS. Are American transplant centers willing to transplant prisoners. Can J Urol. 2023;30(5):11698-702.
- 4. Jeffers JL. Justice is not blind: Disproportionate incarceration rate of people of color. So Work Public Health. 2019;34(1):113-121. DOI: 10.1080/19371918.2018.1562404.
- Hall D, Lee LW, Manseau MW, Pope L, Watson AC, Compton MT. Major mental illness as a risk factor for incarceration. Psychiatr Serv. 2019;70(12):1088-1093. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800425.
- De Page L, Boulanger M, De Villers B, Di Virgilio P, Pham T, Saloppé X, Thiry B. Countertransference in forensic inpatient settings: An empirical examination of therapist responses to patients with psychotic disorders. J Forensic Nurs. 2021;17(1):52-60. DOI: 10.1097/JFN.00000000000000308.

DISCLOSURES

All authors have no funding nor potential conflicts of interest to report.