Using Clinical Data and Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modelling to Assess the Effect of Hepatic Impairment on Avapritinib Pharmacokinetics to Guide Dose Adjustment

¹Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA; ²Certara UK Limited, Simcyp Division, Sheffield, UK; ³Orlando Clinical Research Center, Orlando, FL, USA.

Introduction

- Avapritinib, a selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is approved for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable/metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) harboring a PDGFRA exon 18 mutation (USA) or a D842V mutation (Europe). Avapritinib is also approved for adult patients with indolent systemic mastocytosis (ISM) in the USA and Europe, or advanced systemic mastocytosis (AdvSM) in the USA and in Europe after ≥ 1 prior therapy¹⁻⁶
- The starting dose of avapritinib is 300 mg once daily (QD) in GIST, 200 mg QD in AdvSM and 25 mg QD in ISM
- Avapritinib is extensively metabolized by the liver and eliminated predominantly through biliary excretion (Figure 1). The oxidative metabolism of avapritinib is primarily mediated by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 with minor contributions from CYP2C9, producing the metabolite M499^{1,2}

Figure 1: Avapritinib is metabolized by CYP3A4, minor route via CYP2C9 to form M499 which is eliminated primarily through biliary excretion



YP. cvtochrome P450.

• Post hoc population pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis in patients with GIST found no meaningful effect of mild or moderate hepatic impairment (HI) on avapritinib clearance (**Figure 2**)

Figure 2: Comparable avapritinib clearance in patients with GIST with normal hepatic function, mild hepatic impairment, and moderate hepatic impairment



I, hepatic impairment; h, hour

- Significance of this work:
- To assess the effect of severe HI on avapritinib PK, a single dose phase 1 study BLU-285-0107 was conducted
- A physiologically based PK (PBPK) model was used to determine dose adjustments for avapritinib in patients with severe HI

Methods: Severe hepatic impairment study

Figure 3: Study design BLU-285-0107



ipants with normal hepatic function were matched in a 1:1 ratio to a participant with HI for age, body mass index, and sex. BMI, body mass index; fu, fraction unbound; PK, pharmacokinetics.

• Study BLU-285-0107 assessed the effects of severe HI (Child-Pugh Class C) on avapritinib PK (total and unbound plasma) following a single 100 mg oral dose QD while fasting compared to participants with normal hepatic function. Study design is shown in Figure 3

Results: Severe hepatic impairment study

A clinically meaningful increase in unbound avapritinib, but not total avapritinib, was observed in participants with severe hepatic impairment (BLU-285-0107)

- participants with normal hepatic function
- normal hepatic function

Table 1. Statistical comparison of total and unbound plasma avapritinib PK parameters following a single dose of 100 mg avapritinib in participants with severe hepatic impairment and normal hepatic function (BLU-285-0107)

Parameter

Jnbound plasma a

_{max} (ng/mL)

AUCu_{0–inf} (h*ng/mL) Clu/F (L/h)

Total plasma avap

C_{max} (ng/mL)

AUC_{0-inf} (h*ng/mL)

Mean Ratio.







Anitha Suram,¹ Preethi Swamy,¹ Zoe Barter,² James Baker,¹ Thomas C. Marbury,³ Maria Roche,¹ Ronda Rippley,¹ Wendy Ankrom¹

• The mean total concentration-time profiles and plasma PK parameters in participants with severe HI and normal hepatic function are presented in Figure 5 and Table 1, respectively

• The median fraction unbound (fu) of avapritinib per the National Cancer Institute Organ Dysfunction Working Group (NCI-ODWG) score were numerically higher in participants with severe HI compared to

The mean half-life of avapritinib was 34% higher for participants with severe HI compared to those with

	Severe hepatic impairment (N=8)	Normal hepatic function (N=8)	GMR (%) ^a	90% CI
/ap	oritinib PK parame	eters		
	1.293	1.197	108.00	58.08–200.80
	105.2	65.21	161.32	121.41–214.35
	950.6	1534	_	-
tin	ib PK parameters			
	96.60	120.7	80.05	44.46–144.14
	7861	6574	119.58	88.02–162.44

90% CI, 90% confidence interval; AUC_{0-inf}, area under the (total/unbound) avapritinib plasma concentration time curve from time 0 extrapolated to infinity; Clu/F, apparent oral clearance of unbound avapritinib unadjusted for bioavailability; C_{max}, maximum (total/unbound) avapritinib plasma concentration; GMR, Geometric

Linear scale (A) and semi-log (0–24 hours from dosing) (B) mean total concentration–time profiles following a single dose of 100 mg avapritinib in articipants with severe hepatic impairment and normal hepatic function overlayed

The thick lines represent mean concentration profiles and circles represent individual concentrations

5	5 10	15	2	0
		Time postdose, h		

Methods: Hepatic impairment PBPK model

Figure 4. PBPK modelling of avapritinib including hepatic function

Avapritinib base PBPK model itial model based on physchem, in vitro and nical data

Hepatic impairment PBPK model of avapritinib

 A PBPK model of avapritinib was developed including hepatic function which could be used to simulate avapritinib PK for normal to severe hepatic impairment (Figure 4)

Results: Hepatic impairment PBPK model

Avapritinib severe hepatic impairment PBPK model

- Optimized normal hepatic function model
- Simulated concentration versus time profiles of total avapritinib and M499 were comparable to the clinica data (Figure 7)
- The simulated geometric mean area under the avapritinib plasma concentration time curve from time 0 extrapolated to infinity (AUC_{0-inf}), maximum avapritinib plasma concentration (C_{max}), and median time</sub>at which maximum avapritinib plasma concentration is reached (T_{max}) values for avapritinib (total and unbound) in participants with normal hepatic function were within 1.4-fold of the observed values (majority within 1.25-fold; Table 2) and these differences were considered minor

Table 2. Simulated and observed geometric mean avapritinib PK parameters in participants with normal hepatic function from BLU-285-0107					
	-	Total avapritinib		Unbound avapritinib	
	AUC _{0-inf} (h.ng/mL)	C _{max} (ng/mL)	T ^a (h)	AUC _{0-inf} (h.ng/mL)	C _{max} (ng/mL)
Simulated	7663	90.4	2.50	78.3	0.93
CV%	62	53	1.45–5.10 ^b	61	50
Observed	6574	121	2.25	65.2	1.2
CV%	42	51	1-4.83 ^b	50	50
S/O	1.17	0.75	1.11	1.20	0.77

Γ_{max}, median. ^bRange. CV, coefficient of variation; S/O, simulated/observed; T_{max}, time at which maximum avapritinib plasma concentration is reached.

Severe hepatic impairment model

- 1.5-fold; Figure 8, Table 3)
- The model predicted that severe HI would result in higher unbound concentrations of avapritinib consistent with the clinical study BLU-285-0107 (Table 4) <u>PBPK simulations of severe hepatic impairment dose adjustments</u>
- Steady-state simulations indicated dose reductions from 300 mg QD to 200 mg QD (GIST), 200 mg QD to 100 mg QD (AdvSM), and 25 mg QD to 25 mg once every other day (QOD, ISM) would be appropriate for patients with severe HI (Figure 9, Table 5)
- The resulting avapritinib exposures were generally within ~ 0.8 to 1.25-fold of those in participants with normal hepatic function (Figure 9, Table 5)
- In the PBPK model, mild and moderate hepatic impairment had minimal impact on systemic exposures to total and unbound avapritinib consistent with a population PK analysis (data not shown)



A lower albumin binding was used when modelling GIST as compared to AdvSM and ISM; ^aBased on 10 virtual trials of eight participants with normal hepatic function under fasting conditions (3/8 female, age 47–63 years, fu 0.010, and accompanying sensitivity analysis). ^bBased on 10 virtual trials of eight participants with normal hepatic function under fasting conditions (3/8 female, age 47–63 years, fu 0.010, and accompanying sensitivity analysis). ^bBased on 10 virtual trials of eight participants with normal hepatic function under fasting conditions (3/8 female, age 47–63 years, fu 0.010, and accompanying sensitivity analysis). ^bBased on 10 virtual trials of eight participants with severe HI under fasting conditions (3/8 female, age 50–68 years, fu 0.0137). fa, fraction absorbed; ka, absorption rate constant; PBPK, physiologically based pharmacokinetics.

Avapritinib hepatic impairment dose adjustment simulations

- PBPK model was used to simulate avapritinib plasma PK following QD dosing of avapritinib in participants with severe HI and normal hepatic function at a range of doses
- Dosing regimens were identified which resulted in steady state PK in severe HI which was within 2-fold of the PK in participants with normal hepatic function at the approved avapritnib doses (25 mg, 200 mg, and 300 mg)

The simulated geometric mean AUC_{0-inf}, C_{max} , and median T_{max} values for avapritinib (total and unbound) in severe HI following a single 100 mg QD oral dose were within 2-fold of the observed values (most within

Figure 6. Simulated and observed total avapritinib plasma concentration-time profiles of a single oral dose of 100 mg avapritinib in age-matched participants with normal hepatic function



Depicted are simulated (lines) and observed data (circles, n=8; Clinical Study BLU-285-0107). The grey lines represent the 5th and 95th percentiles and the solid black line the mean data for the simulated population (n=80).

Figure 7. Simulated and observed total avapritinib plasma concentration-time profiles of a single oral dose of 100 mg avapritinib in participants with severe HI



Depicted are simulated (lines) and observed data (circles, n=8: Clinical Study BLU-285-0107). The grey lines represent the 5th and 95th percentiles and the solid black line the mean data for the simulated population (n=80).

Poster Number **A008**

Table 3. Simulated and observed geometric mean avapritinib PK parameters in participants with severe hepatic impairment (fraction absorbed of 0.28 for avapritinib) from BLU-285-0107 Total avapritinib Unbound avapritinib

AUC _{0-inf} (h.ng/mL)	C _{max} (ng/mL)	T ^a (h)	AUC _{0-inf} (h.ng/mL)	C _{max} (ng/mL)
10737	51.7	1.50	153	0.74
72	55	0.8-3.4 ^b	64	45
7861	96.6	1.50	105	1.29
58	60	1-24 ^b	71	82
1.37	0.54	1.00	1.46	0.57
	AUC _{0-inf} (h.ng/mL) 10737 72 7861 58 1.37	AUC 0-inf (h.ng/mL)C max (ng/mL)1073751.77255786196.658601.370.54	$\begin{array}{c c} AUC_{0-inf} & C_{max} & T_{max}^{a} (h) \\ \hline (h.ng/mL) & 10737 & 51.7 & 1.50 \\ \hline 72 & 55 & 0.8-3.4^{b} \\ \hline 7861 & 96.6 & 1.50 \\ \hline 58 & 60 & 1-24^{b} \\ \hline 1.37 & 0.54 & 1.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } \hline AUC_{0-inf} & C_{max} & T_{max}^{a} (h) & AUC_{0-inf} \\ \hline (h.ng/mL) & (ng/mL) & 1.50 & 153 \\ \hline 10737 & 51.7 & 1.50 & 153 \\ \hline 72 & 55 & 0.8-3.4^{b} & 64 \\ \hline 7861 & 96.6 & 1.50 & 105 \\ \hline 58 & 60 & 1-24^{b} & 71 \\ \hline 1.37 & 0.54 & 1.00 & 1.46 \\ \end{array}$

, median. ^bRange

Figure 8. Simulations to support dose adjustments from 300 mg QD to 200 mg QD (A), from 200 mg QD to 100 mg QD (B), and from 25 mg QD to 25 mg QOD (C)





5 mg QD 25 mg QOD severe HI

for 28 days with normal hepatic function (300 mg QD) and severe hepatic impairment (200 mg QD). Panel B shows simulated mean unbound ' concentration-time profiles on administration of multiple oral daily doses of avapritinib in participants for 28 days with normal hepatic function / severe hepatic impairment (100 mg QD). Panel C shows simulated mean unbound avapritinib plasma concentration-time profiles on administration of multiple oral daily doses of avapritinib in participants for 28 days with normal hepatic function (25 mg QD) and severe hepatic impairment (25 mg QOD). The lines represent the men data for the simulated populations (n=100) of participants with normal hepatic function (black) and participants with severe hepatic impairment (red). QD, once daily; QOD, once every other day.

Table 4. Summary of predicted geometric mean C_{max} and AUC ratios for avapritinib in participants with severe hepatic impairment versus normal hepatic function following multiple oral dosing of avapritinib

	Severe HI/ healthy	
	GMR C _{max,ss}	GMR AUC _{0-tau,ss} a
25 mg QD		
Avapritinib (total)	1.14	1.23
Avapritinib (unbound)	1.59	1.71
200 mg QD		
Avapritinib (total)	1.12	1.20
Avapritinib (unbound)	1.56	1.68
300 mg QD		
Avapritinib (total)	1.11	1.19
Avapritinib (unbound)	1.55	1.67
aALIC was calculated from 649 bours to 672 bours (day 29)		

^aAUC_{0-tau,ss} was calculated from 648 hours to 672 hours (day 28). AUC_{0-tau,ss}, area under the curve from time 0 to dosing interval at steady state; C_{max,ss}, maximum plasma concentration at steady state.

severe hepatic impairment receiving modified dose of avapritinib				
Dose (regimen)	PBPK model estimates			
	C _{max.ss}	AUC _{0-tau.ss}		
200 mg QD severe HI/ 300 mg QD normal hepatic function	1.05	1.13		
100 mg QD severe HI/ 200 mg QD normal hepatic function	0.80	0.87		
25 mg QOD severe HI/ 25 mg QD normal hepatic function	0.86	0.92		

Conclusions

- Severe HI resulted in a clinically meaningful increase in unbound but not total avapritinib exposure in the clinical study (BLU-285-0107)
- PBPK modelling and simulations were leveraged to identify dose reductions in patients with severe hepatic impairment that would lead to exposures comparable to those of participants with normal hepatic function
- Based on PBPK modeling, a modified starting dose of avapritinib is recommended for patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class C)
- GIST: 200 mg QD (approved dose is 300 mg QD)
- AdvSM: 100 mg QD (approved dose is 200 mg QD)
- ISM: 25 mg QOD (approved dose is 25 mg QD) No dose modification is required for patients with mild or moderate HI

Reference

1. Ayvakit (avapritinib) Prescribing Information. Cambridge, MA: Blueprint Medicines Corporation; 2023; 2. Ayvakyt (avapritinib) Summary of Product Characteristics. Cambridge, MA; Blueprint Medicines Corporation; 2023; 3. Gotlib J et al. NEJM Evid 2023;2(6); 4. Gotlib J et al. Nature Medicine. 2021;(27);2192–2199; 5. DeAngelo D et al. Nature Medicine. 2021;(27);2183–2191; 5. Heinrich M et al. *Lancet Oncology*. 2020; 21:935–946

Acknowledgeme

The authors thank the participants, their families, all other investigators, and all investigational site members involved in this study. This study was funded by Blueprint Medicines Corporation Writing and editorial support under the direction of the authors was provided by Akanksha Srivastava, MSc, and Travis Taylor, BA, all of Paragon (a division of Prime, Knutsford). Responsibility for all opinions conclusions and data interpretation lies with the authors **Conflicts of interest/disclosures**

Anitha Surnam is an employee and equity holder of Blueprint Medicines Corporation. For all author disclosures, please contact medinfo@blueprintmedicines.com