

Population Pharmacokinetics of Bictegravir in Pregnant and Postpartum Persons Living with HIV

Steven Sun¹, Mina Nikanjam², Mark Mirochnick^{3,4}, Kathleen M. Powis^{5,6}, Alice Stek⁷, Kristina M. Brooks⁸, Brookie M. Best¹, Edmund Capparelli¹, Jeremiah D. Momper¹

Skazes School of Pharmacv and Pharmaceutical Sciences. University of California San Diego, La Jolla. CA: ²Division of Hematology-Oncology. University of California San Diego, La Jolla. CA: ³Department of Pediatrics. Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston MA: "Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA: ⁵Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA: ⁶Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA; ⁷Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; ⁸Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO

INTRODUCTION

- Bictegravir is a potent integrase strand transfer inhibitor that prevents HIV-1 viral replication and is widely used in combination with other antiretroviral drugs for HIV-1.1
- Bictegravir has demonstrated efficacy in non-pregnant populations, but reductions in bictegravir exposures during pregnancy necessitate a better understanding of changes in its pharmacokinetics (PK) during pregnancy.^{2,3}
- While population pharmacokinetic (popPK) models have been developed for bictegravir in adults⁴, the popPK of bictegravir in pregnant women living with HIV has not been described.
- Goal: To develop a popPK model of bictegravir to characterize its disposition throughout pregnancy and postpartum in women living with HIV.

METHODS

Study Data:

- · IMPAACT 2026 is an open-label, multi-center phase-IV prospective study of antiretroviral PK in pregnant persons living with HIV. Pregnant persons living with HIV of at least 20 weeks gestational age, receiving oral bictegravir 50 mg once daily as part of standard of care, and who were not receiving tuberculosis medications were eligible to enroll. Participants were on therapy for at least 2 weeks prior to study entry.
- Intensive PK sampling was performed in the 2nd trimester. 3rd trimester, and postpartum visits.

Pharmacokinetic analysis:

- A popPK model was developed using nonlinear mixed effects modeling (NONMEM v 7 5)
- · Concentration-time data were fit using first-order conditional estimation (FOCE) method with interaction
- A one-compartment PK structural model (ADVAN2, TRANS2 subroutine) with first order absorption was initially used to describe the data
- Age, weight, HIV-1 RNA count, hematocrit, CD4 count, AST, ALT, total bilirubin, albumin, serum creatinine, pregnancy, race (Black/African American) and concomitant tenofovir alafenamide (TAE) were evaluated as potential covariates for apparent clearance (CL/F) and volume of distribution (V/E) using stepwise covariate modeling.
- A forward selection approach with a significance threshold of p < 0.05 and a backward selection process with the threshold of p < 0.01 was utilized for the multivariable assessment.

Monte Carlo Simulations:

- · Monte Carlo simulations of the final model were performed using 2000 virtual subjects to compare the difference in bictegravir PK between pregnancy (3rd trimester) and postpartum.
- · Simulated subjects were Black/African American on the FDA approved dosing of 50 mg orally daily.
- · Concentration profiles were generated for 1000 subjects with median weight and albumin for the respective 3rd trimester and postpartum period.

REFERENCES

- Zhang H, Hindman JT, Lin L, et al. A study of the pha with HIV. AIDS. 2024:38(1):F1-F9. doi:10.109
- 24, 2024. https:

Period of Pregnand -- 2nd Trimeste · · · 3rd Trimeste Time after Dose (hours)

Data for 27 participants, contributing a total of 508

bictegravir plasma concentrations across all visits were

A summary of participant's baseline characteristics is

Figure 1. Observed Steady-State Bictegravir

Concentration vs. Time After Dose

used for analysis

summarized in Table 1.

Observed plasma bictegravir concentrations in pregnant and postpartum persons receiving bictegravir 50 mg daily. Dashed, dotted, and solid lines represent the observed median steady-state bictegravir concentrations in 2nd trimester, 3rd trimester, and postpartum, respectively. Shaded circles, open circles, and open triangles represent the raw observed steady-state bictegravir concentrations in 2nd trimester, 3rd trimester, and postpartum.

Bootstrap successfully converged 99.3% of the time. $CL/F(L/hr) = 0.549 \times (Albumin/3.7)^{-1.13} \times (1.61 if pregnant)$

$V/F(L) = 12.6 \times (Weight in kg/70)^{0.64} \times (1.32 if Race is Black or African American)$

θ. (Albumin on CL; g/dL)

θ₆ (Weight on V; kg)

Between-subject (V)

Between-subject (CL)

θ₇ (Race on V)

Variability (η)

Error (ɛ)

Proportional

CONCLUSIONS

- The current analysis represents the first popPK model of bictegravir in pregnancy.
- Bictegravir V/F is higher in Black/African American participants and those with higher body weight.
- Bictegravir CL/F increases during the 2nd and 3rd trimester of pregnancy and is inversely related to albumin concentration.
- Pregnancy was the most significant covariate in the model and a strong predictor for bictegravir CL/F.
- Monte Carlo simulations in participants receiving bictegravir 50 mg daily showed that >99% of participants in both the 3rd trimester of pregnancy and the postpartum period are anticipated to achieve adequate bictegravir concentrations for HIV-1 suppression, based on the in vitro antiviral activity.¹
- Despite a higher bictegravir CL/F during pregnancy leading to lower exposure a 50 mg daily dose is predicted to achieve therapeutic levels both during pregnancy and postpartum.

Characteristic	Period		
	2nd Trimester, N = 12'	3rd Trimester, N = 2	7' Postpartum, N = 25
Age (years)	31.1 (22.7, 39.8)	31.8 (17.8, 44.1)	32.1 (18.0, 43.2)
Weight (kg)	87 (56, 122)	90 (46, 149)	80 (44, 141)
HIV-1 RNA (copies/mL) ²	<20 (<20, 553)	<20 (<20, 429)	<20 (<20, 10,300)
CD4 (cells/mm ^a) ^a	562 (27, 1,526)	692 (204, 1,814)	851 (817, 884)
AST (U/L)	17 (9, 27)	16 (8, 41)	23 (9, 116)
ALT (U/L)	9 (5, 23)	11 (6, 29)	18 (7, 187)
Albumin (g/dL)	3.60 (3.20, 4.00)	3.30 (2.90, 4.10)	4.50 (3.60, 4.80)
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.20 (0.15, 0.70)	0.20 (0.17, 0.90)	0.40 (0.16, 1.70)
Hematocrit (%)	33.5 (28.8, 35.9)	33.1 (25.8, 38.0)	37.8 (33.3, 42.9)
erum Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.53 (0.40. 0.68)	0.53 (0.40, 0.70)	0.77 (0.56, 1.00)
Race ⁴			
Black or African American	7/12 (58%)	15/27 (56%)	14/25 (56%)
White	2/12 (17%)	4/27 (15%)	4/25 (16%)
Asian	1/12 (8.3%)	2/27 (7.4%)	1/25 (4.0%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0/12 (0%)	1/27 (3.7%)	1/25 (4.0%)
Missing	2/12 (17%)	5/27 (19%)	5/25 (20%)
y unjerendudon 47 AST, dSp Median (Range); n / N (%) 'Many participant's HIV-1 RI NA count of 10,300 copies v 'Missing 23 values from pos iamples 'Most (96%) participants we	Note consummase; ALI, a VA counts were below the a was confirmed to be non-co partum re enrolled in the United st	assay's LOD (< 20 copi mpliant with their tro ates; one was enrolle	es). 1 participant with HIV eatment regimen. d in Thailand.
Table 2. Final P Parameters an	opulation Pha d Bootstrap E	armacokine stimates	tic Model
arameters	Final Parameter Estimates	Standard Error of Estimates	Bootstrap Estimates Median (95% CI)
h//E. 1)	12.6	0.897	125(109-145)
7, (V/F; L)	11.0		12.3 (10.3 14.3)
), (CL/F; L/h)	0.549	0.0457	0.551 (0.457 - 0.661
θ ₂ (CL/F; L/h) θ ₂ (CL/F; L/h) θ ₃ (KA; h ⁻¹)	0.549	0.0457	0.551 (0.457 - 0.661 1.88 (1.22 - 3.13)

-1.13

0.636

1 32

CV (%)

8.41

28.5

CV (%)

28.7

KA, first-order absorption rate constant; CV, coefficient of variation

CI, confidence interval; V/F, apparent volume of distribution; CL/F, apparent clearance;

0.461

0 151

0.0938

Standard Error

of Estimates

0.0502

0.0414

Standard Error

of Estimates

0.0201

-1.12 (-2.12 - -0.22)

1.33 (1.12 - 1.53)

Bootstrap Estimates

Median (95% CI)

6.00 (0.20 - 14.70)

27.6 (19.2 - 35.2)

Bootstran Estimates

Median (95% CI)

28.2(24.7 - 32.1)

0 642 (0 297 - 0 940)



concentrations vs observed concentrations. Grey line represents the line of unity (y=x). B. Conditional weighted residual values vs time (hr) after dose. Grev line represents v = 0

Figure 3. Observed Steady-State Bictegravir Concentration and Final Model Monte Carlo Simulation Results



Observed median steady-state bictegravir concentrations and simulated median steady-state bictegravir concentrations. Post-dose Monte Carlo simulations (n = 2000) using the final model of bictegravir PK for pregnant and postpartum persons living with HIV. All simulated participants were Black/African American and received the FDA-approved 50 mg oral dose daily. Shaded circles and open circles represent the median observed steady-state bictegravir concentrations, respectively. The error bars represent the interquartile ranges (25% - 75%) of the observed data. The dashed line and solid line represent the median simulated steady-state bictegravir concentrations. The horizontal dotted line at 162 ng/mL represents the protein-adjusted EC95 pharmacodynamic target for wild-type HIV-1.¹ Despite lower drug levels in pregnancy, bictegravir concentrations are maintained above the therapeutic target.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

d Infectious Diseases (NIAID) with co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human of the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), all components of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), under Navard N 632-15 (MPAACT LOC, UNIAID6666-55 (IMPAACT SDMC) and UNIAID6676-69 (MPAACT LC), and by NICHD constract mure (NICHD) and the National Inst bility of the authors and does not need tent is solely the resi

uthors wish to thank the mothers and infants who participated in the IMPAACT 2026 study, as well as the IMPAACT site study staf

