Comparable Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity of Sacituzumab Govitecan Between Patients With Metastatic Urothelial Cancer and Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

Ya-Feng Wen¹, <u>Anees M Dauki¹</u>, Abhishek G Sathe¹, KahHiing John Ling¹, Ahmed A Othman^{1*}

¹Clinical Pharmacology Sciences, Gilead Sciences, Inc, Foster City, CA, USA *Affiliation at the time of the study.

Conclusions

- No clinically relevant PK differences were observed between patients with mUC and patients with mTNBC following administration of SG 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 8 of every 21-day cycle
- Population PK analyses across the mUC and mTNBC populations are underway to further characterize the PK of SG and its components and assess the impact of covariates on serum exposure
- In patients with mUC and mTNBC, the prevalence and incidence of anti-SG antibodies were low. With the limited available data, no clear impact of anti-SG antibodies on SG or total antibody concentrations was observed

Plain Language Summary

- There were no significant differences in how the drug was processed in the bodies of patients with metastatic urothelial cancer (mUC) and patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC) using the approved sacituzumab govitecan regimen
- Further studies are needed to understand if other factors affect how the drug is processed in patients with mUC and mTNBC
- Only a few patients developed antibodies against the study drug, and these antibodies did not affect the amount of the drug in blood

References: 1. Trodelvy (sacituzumab govitecan-hziy) [prescribing information] Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA; 2023. **2.** Tagawa ST, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2021;39:2474-85. **3.** Bardia A, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:1529-41. **4.** Sathe AG, et al. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2024;63:669-81.

Acknowledgments: We extend our thanks to the patients, their families, and all participating investigators This study was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Editing and production assistance were provided by Parexel International, and funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Disclosures: YW, AD, AS, KL, and **AO** report employment and stock ownership with Gilead Sciences, Inc. **AO** was an employee at Gilead Sciences, Inc. at the time of the study.

Correspondence: Ya-Feng Wen, jay.wen3@gilead.com

Introduction

- checkpoint inhibitor¹

Objectives

Methods

Study Design

Pharmacokinetic Analyses

- bound SN-38⁴

Immunogenicity Analyses

Sacituzumab govitecan (SG) is an antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) composed of an anti-Trop-2 antibody coupled to the potent SN-38 payload via a proprietary, hydrolyzable CL2A linker

It is approved in multiple countries for patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC) who had received at least 2 prior chemotherapies (≥ 1 for metastatic disease), pretreated HR+/HER2– metastatic BC, and has accelerated approval in the US for patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer (mUC) who had previously received a platinum-containing chemotherapy and immune

Recognizing patient characteristics differ between mUC and mTNBC, we evaluated pharmacokinetics (PK) of SG (ADC), total antibody (hRS7 unconjugated or conjugated with SN-38), and free SN-38 (payload), as well as immunogenicity in the form of antidrug antibodies (ADA) following SG administration in these 2 patient populations

TROPHY U-01 (NCT03547973) was a multicenter, open-label phase 2 study conducted in patients with unresectable locally advanced/mUC²

ASCENT (NCT02574455) was a multicenter, open-label, randomized phase 3 study conducted in patients with mTNBC who had received at least 2 prior chemotherapies³

All patients in TROPHY U-01 and ASCENT received approved starting dose of 10 mg/kg SG on days 1 and 8 of every 21-day cycle

Concentration-time data from patients who received \geq 1 dose of SG and had intensive PK samples collected were analyzed using noncompartmental methods (Phoenix[®] WinNonlin version 8.2, Pharsight, Cary, NC)

Serum samples for PK analyses were collected at pre-dose, 1 to 2 minutes prior to the end of the first infusion, and 0.5, 4, 24, 48, 72, and 168 hours after the first infusion in a subset of patients who received \geq 1 dose of SG

Separate validated liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry methods were developed to measure concentrations of free SN-38 and total SN-38 to estimate the

A validated sandwich electrochemiluminescence (ECL) immunoassay was used to quantify total antibody concentrations. Four concentrations of SG were calculated from bound SN-38 concentrations assuming a drug-to-antibody ratio of 8⁴

 Patients from both studies who received at least 1 dose of SG and had at least 2 nonmissing reportable ADA test result were included in the immunogenicity analysis. An ECL-based immunoassay was utilized to test for anti-SG antibodies using a 3-tier approach: screen, confirm, and titer. Neutralizing activity of the ADA (NAb) against SG were evaluated using a separate ligand-binding ECL assay method

Results

 Baseline characteristics of patients who received SG and those with intensive PK samples collected in TROPHY U-01 and ASCENT study are summarized in **Table 1**

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients Who Received SG From TROPHY U-01 (mUC) and ASCENT (mTNBC) Studies

	mUC		mTNBC		
	Intensive PK (n = 11)	All (N = 148)	Intensive PK (n = 29)	All (N = 258)	
Female, n (%)	1 (9.1)	40 (27.0)	28 (96.6)	256 (99.2)	
Race, n (%)					
White	9 (81.8)	112 (75.7)	20 (69.0)	211 (81.8)	
Black or African American	1 (9.1)	4 (2.7)	4 (13.8)	25 (9.7)	
Asian	0	3 (2.0)	2 (6.9)	11 (4.3)	
Other	1 (9.1)	29 (19.6)	3 (10.3)	11 (4.3)	
Age (years), mean (SD)	59.4 (11.8)	71.3 (10.6)	53.8 (11.5)	54.1 (54.1)	
Weight (kg), mean (SD)	82.0 (16.9)	76.3 (15.5)	74.0 (20.9)	71.6 (17.8)	
mTNBC, metastatic triple-negative breast cancer; mUC, r	netastatic urothelial cancer; PK,	pharmacokinetics.			

- but values were generally within the PK variability

Table 2. PK Parameters and Summary Statistics for SG Stratified by Analyte for the 10 mg/kg **Dose in Patients With mUC and mTNBC**

PK Parameter	Sacituzuma	ituzumab Govitecan Total Ant		tibody Free SN-38		SN-38
Tumor Type	mUC	mTNBC	mUC	mTNBC	mUC	mTNBC
	(n = 11)	(n = 29)	(n = 11)	(n = 29)	(n = 11)	(n = 29)
T _{max} (hr)	3.58	3.10	5.55	3.95	4.53	3.25
	[1.58, 20.5]	[1.23, 5.40]	[2.98, 27.6]	[1.23, 51.6]	[2.98, 20.5]	[1.23, 6.30]
C _{max} (ng/mL)	213,000	242,000	229,000	261,000	69.0	94.9
	(26.9%)	(21.8%)	(23.6%)	(30.4%)	(39.7%)	(69.8%)
AUC _{0-168hr} (ng•hr/mL)	5,500,000	5,560,000	21,100,000	21,300,000	1970	2740
	(27.3%) ^a	(23.5%) ^b	(32.3%) ^a	(29.3%)	(36.6%) ^a	(41.3%)

Not all PK parameters of a given analyte could be robustly estimated for enrolled participants with intensive PK samples. Data are presented as mean (CV%) for C_{max} and AUC_{0-168hr} and median [range] for T

^a10, 9, and 8 evaluable patients with mUC for AUC_{0-168br} of sacituzumab govitecan, total antibody, and free SN-38, respectively. ^b28 patients with mTNBC for AUC₀₋₁₆₈ of sacituzumab govitecan. AUC, area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 to 168 hours; C_{max}, maximum observed serum concentration; CV, coefficient of variation; mTNBC, metastatic triple-negative breast cancer; mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer; PK, pharmacokinetics; T_{max}, time to reach the maximum observed serum concentration.

• Data from 11 (of 148) and 29 (of 258) patients with intensive PK collection were included in the PK analyses for TROPHY U-01 and ASCENT, respectively, but the number of patients with enough data points to calculate the different parameters varied • The estimated PK parameters for SG, total antibody, and free SN-38 in patients with mUC and mTNBC are shown in **Table 2.** Serum concentration-time profiles for SG, total antibody, and free SN-38 are shown in **Figure 1**

• The estimated mean clearance (CL) and volume of distribution (V_{ss}) for SG were 0.147 L/hr and 2.84 L, respectively, in patients with mUC, and closely aligned with 0.134 L/hr and 2.65 L, respectively, in patients with mTNBC

• In the mUC and mTNBC studies, 4 (3.0%) of 133 and 4 (1.6%) of 258 patients, respectively, developed antibodies to SG (Table 3) with no discernible impact on SG serum exposures (data not shown)

• Patients with mUC appeared to have lower maximum observed serum concentration (C_{max}) and area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 to 168 hours (AUC_{0-168br}) of free SN-38 compared with patients with mTNBC (Table 4),



SG, sacituzumab govitecan.





Discussion

Poster 156

ASCENT **TROPHY U-01**



BLQ. below the lower limits of quantification; LLOQ. lower limit of quantification; mTNBC, metastatic triple-negative breast cancer; mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer;

Table 3. Anti-SG Antibodies Prevalence and Incidence in Patients With mUC and mTNBC

	mUC	mTNBC	Total
nce	4/133 (3.0%)	4/258 (1.6%)	8/391 (2.0%)
ce (treatment emergent)	4/109 (3.7%)	4/242 (1.7%)	8/351 (2.3%)
ce (treatment emergent)	2/109 (1.8%)	3/242 (1.2%)	5/351 (1.4%)

Evaluable for ADA prevalence population includes participants who have at least 1 nonmissing reportable ADA result. Evaluable for ADA incidence population includes participants who have at least 1 nonmissing postbaseline ADA result.

ADA, anti-drug (SG) antibody; mTNBC, metastatic triple-negative breast cancer; mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer; NAb, neutralizing antibody.

Table 4. Differences in PK Parameters Between Patients With mUC and mTNBC

r	Sacituzumab Govitecan	Total Antibody	Free SN-38
	-12.0%	-12.3%	-27.3%
•hr/mL)	-1.1%	-0.9%	-28.1%

) ifferences compared the percentage changes in PK parameters between patients with mUC and patients with mTNBC using the mTNBC PK parameters as reference. AUC_{0_168br}, area under the serum concentration-time curve from time 0 to 168 hours; C_{max}, maximum observed serum concentration; mTNBC, metastatic triple-negative breast cancer; mUC, metastatic urothelial cancer; PK, pharmacokinetic.

• The PK of SG and total antibody are similar between patients with mUC and patients with mTNBC, supported by the similar PK parameters, including CL (SG only), V_{ss} (SG only), T_{max}, C_{max}, and AUC_{0-168hr}

The lower free-SN38 exposure in patients with mUC compared with patients with mTNBC in the 2 studies could be attributed in part to the different sample processing because the release of free SN-38 from SG is sensitive to the duration of clot formation at ambient temperature. Improved sample handling in TROPHY U-01 resulted in lower free SN-38 seen in the patients with mUC