Authors: K.M. Graham M.S., B. Bao, A. Carlson, N. Verlinden Revvity Inc. 68 Elm St., Hopkinton, MA 01748, USA



Revvity's AlphaLISA[®] Toolbox reagents are a customizable tool to study PROTACs for targeted protein degradation (TPD) applications. This study demonstrates the use of AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents to detect ternary complex formation within the TPD pathway and explores methods for optimizing ternary complex detection with AlphaLISA using a common TPD target.

- AlphaLISA (amplified luminescent proximity homogeneous • assay) is a bead-based chemiluminescent assay technology which can be used to quantitate diverse targets.
- AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents offer customizability through interchangeable anti-TAG Donor and Acceptor beads that can bind to any tagged molecule of interest
- Toolbox reagents can be applied to investigate various stages (e.g. PROTAC binding) within the TPD pathway.



Introduction

As the field of TPD and PROTACs expands, there is a need for immunoassay technologies that can investigate key stages of PROTAC interaction. PROTAC mediated degradation is a multi-step process (Fig 1a). The formation and stability of E3 ligase-PROTACtarget protein ternary complexes is critical for effective protein degradation. AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents (anti-TAG Donor and Acceptor beads; Fig 1b) were tested for effectiveness in detection of the ternary complex for a common TPD target. Ternary complex components included:

- Protein of interest (POI): recombinant (GST-tagged) BRD4
- *E3 ligase:* recombinant (FLAG- and 6xHis-tagged) Cereblon/DDB1/Cul4A/Rbx1-Complex (CRBN-Complex)
- *PROTAC:* dBET6 (thalidomide ligand; JQ1-derivative warhead)

Modifications to other assay components, such as the target protein (POI) and E3 ligase concentrations, as well as buffer types were explored to optimize assay signal and detection. A workflow for developing a ternary complex detection assay is shown in Fig 1c.



Optimizing a ternary complex assay with AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents for targeted protein degradation applications



Table 1. Comparison of Signal-to-Background (S/B) ratios of AlphaLISA Toolbox bead pairings for detection of the CRBN Complex-dBET6-BRD4 protein ternary complex. Ratios were compared across concentrations of CRBN complex & BRD4 protein to determine the best concentrations for use in the assay. Orange indicates poor S/B ratios, while green highlights acceptable S/B ratios.

Comparison of Buffer Types:

- Buffer type significantly impacted assay signal and ability to detect the ternary complex with Toolbox reagents.
- Binding Buffer produced the highest signal-to-background ratios and offered the best performance (Fig 2).
- Universal Buffer and PPI Buffer had low S/B ratios that did not allow for discrimination of the ternary complex.

Co	
PPI Buffer	90 000 -
 Binding Buffer Universal Buffer 	80 000 -
	70 000 -
	60 000 -
	50 000 -
	40 000 -
	30 000 -
	20 000 -
	10 000 -
	0 -
Backo (1 nM BRD4, 0 r	

Figure 2. Comparison of AlphaLISA signal based on assay buffer type (yellow bars: PPI Buffer; turquoise: Binding Buffer; orange: Universal Buffer). AlphaLISA signal for the ternary complex is shown compared to background signal.

Titration of PROTACs in a Ternary Complex:

- A full titration of the PROTAC dBET6 demonstrated a bell-shaped curve, with a hook point observed at ~100 nM (Fig 3).
- A related PROTAC, dBET1, also produced a ternary complex signal, however, the hook point was slightly increased (~250 nM), suggesting dBET1 to be less efficient at forming ternary complexes.



Figure 3. Titration of PROTAC and control compounds in the ternary complex assay allows for comparison of PROTAC efficiency at forming a ternary complex, as well as demonstrates specificity of the ternary complex assay for the selected targets.

Conclusions

5

AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents can be successfully applied to detect the formation of ternary complexes for targeted protein degradation applications, such as screening PROTAC molecules for drug discovery and development. The interchangeable nature of AlphaLISA Toolbox reagents offers users the powerful ability to build custom assays. With Toolbox assays it is important to test different bead combinations to ensure the ternary complex signal can be distinguished from background. In addition, the buffer matrix plays a critical role in the ternary complex assay.

Further optimizations, including testing additional tagtypes, concentrations of Donor and Acceptor beads, modified incubation times, or altering the order of reagent additions, may offer additional improvements to the ternary complex assay.

Acceptor Bead

Binding Partner BRD4 protein CRBN Complex **CRBN** Complex BRD4 protein

Conclusion

Moderate ternary complex signal; slightly elevated background signal resulted in lower S/B ratios

Ternary complex signal is moderate to high & can be differentiated from background signal; highest S/B ratios

Ternary complex cannot be differentiated due to high background signal; poor S/B ratios

Ternary complex cannot be differentiated due to high background signal; poor S/B ratios

background, demonstrating specificity of the ternary complex assay for the target compounds.

thalidomide, & JQ1 showed no signal above.

Control molecules: MT802,

Ternary Complex Signal I nM CRBN Comp

nparison of AlphaLISA Buffer Types