

INTRODUCTION



Learning Outcomes and Evaluation of a New Graduate Course in SAS Programming Sabina O. Nduaguba, PhD; Safalta Khadka, MS; Khalid Kamal, PhD

METHODS (CONTINUED)

Figure 2: Career interest of students taking the course

1. I ha progra

2. The knowle

3. The knowle softwa

4. The me be

5. The me co softwa

6. I se a tool

7. I fee and cl

analyti 8. I fee

summ

9. I fee apply

statisti 10. l fe

report

Students felt that the assignment and SAS demonstration in class helped their learning the most in both years.

RESULTS (CONTINUED)

Table 1: Median Score for each Survey Items

Survey Items	Median (First year)	Median (Second year)
nd very little knowledge of SAS Amming before taking this course	3	5
e course has increased my edge of SAS programming	4	5
e course has increased my edge about the use of SAS analytical are for data processing	4.5	5
e assignments in the course helped etter understand the course materials	4.5	5
e final project in the course makes onfident that I can use SAS analytical are package	4.5	4.5
e the value of SAS programming as for health science research	5	5
el confident that I can manipulate ean raw data to generate a final ical data set	5	4.5
el confident that I can describe and arize data	4.5	4
el confident that I can identify and appropriate methods for inferential ics	4.5	3.5
eel confident that I can interpret and results obtained from data analysis	4.5	3.5



RESULTS (CONTINUED)

- Students in 2022 suggested that based on assignments and class content, credit should be increased.
- In 2023, students suggested to add more assignments that could resemble the final projects.



Figure 3: Difference in pre-test and post-test scores for students in 2022 and 2023

CONCLUSIONS

Introduction to SAS, offered as a 2credit course, resulted in better learning than when offered as a 1 credit course. Introductory courses that aim to impart practical skills to students should be allotted sufficient credit hours for active learning.