# FROM MAGE TO INSTRUCTION: EVALUATING CHATGPT'S ABILITY TO GUIDE MEDICATION USE

Thien Nguyen, Yusef Yassin, Krishna Panchal, Katie Nault, PharmD, Timothy Aungst, PharmD | MCPHS University – W/M, Worcester, MA

# BACKGROUND

With the advent of image recognition capabilities within AI programs, patients can now upload a medication image and instantly receive instructions for use. It is unclear the accuracy level of these instructions when compared with those provided by the manufacturer.

Our **OBJECTIVE** is to evaluate the accuracy and safety of medication instructions for use generated by ChatGPT answers from self-taken drug images versus the manufacturer instructions across several different routes of administration.

## METHODOLOGY



**Twelve medications** were **photographed** three different ways, including by themselves, with packaging, or packaging alone.

Advair Diskus	Ajovy	Aldendronate	Epipen
Flonase	Humira	QVAR Redihaler	Nicorette Gum
Spiriva Handihaler	Symbicort	Voltaren	Zaditor



**Images** were uploaded to **ChatGPT4** (Version Jan 2022) in September-October 2023 to generate instructions with the prompt "How do I take this medication."



**Responses** were collected, and the text was prepared to be **compared** with the PI from DailyMed. Text similarity was tested based on Cosine Similarity under Count Vectorization and TF-IDF Vectorization providing a **similarity percentage** to either the medication instruction for use (IFU) or MedGuide.



The ChatGPT generated medication instructions were then **clinically evaluated** for their accuracy based on meeting acceptable patient instructions.









**ChatGPT** has the **potential** to generate step-by-step administration instructions and provides more patient-friendly language than the standard IFU or MedGuide. However, its accuracy varies with medication administration complexities and discrepancies may arise from its diverse training resources, leading to lower **similarity scores** on complex instructions.

### Clinical Review of ChatGPT Responses

### **SIMILARITY OF GENAL MEDGUIDE INFORMATION:**

Between the two similarity comparison methods, **Count Vectorizer** demonstrated a better **similarity performance** than TF-IDF with an average difference of 8.75%. Average text similarity of **ChatGPT** instructions to IFU and MedGuides was 76.24%.

### **CLINICAL CONTENT EVALUATION:**

Clinical review scores were lower, with an avg of 59.75%. **ChatGPT** was found to provide more patient friendly instructions than the

PI. Medications with more complicated routes of administration (e.g. injectables) or more steps were found to have **lower** Count Vectorization match rates and clinical review scores. Medications that were administered orally or with fewer steps to use were found to have **higher** similary scores.

# CONCLUSION