Exploring the Role of ChatGPT in Enhancing Multiple-Choice Questions for Pharmacy Education



Benjamin Shultz, Ph.D. and Jeffrey Mucksavage, Pharm.D. University of Illinois Chicago College of Pharmacy (UIC COP)



KEY TAKEAWAYS

 ChatGPT aids item revision and provides useful templates for item construction. It shows promise in item revision but requires expert oversight.

OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate the effectiveness of ChatGPT in revising multiple-choice exam questions in a therapeutics course
- Highlight the strengths and weaknesses of ChatGPT as a revision tool for pharmacy education

METHODS

- Zero-shot GPT-4 prompts¹
- New session each item²
- Six criteria for item generation
 - Year of Study
 - Bloom's Taxonomy Level
 - Target Knowledge Area
 - Preferred Question Format
 - Possible Answers
 - Additional Instructions
- Items sent to original writers (n=11)
- Documented feedback
- Manually revised as needed

RESULTS

94

Initial Items
Re-used from past year

65

Items with Flaws
Flagged for editing
Flagged for editing

Items with GPT-4
Instructor approved edits

Table 1: Examples of Revised Items

Original	GPT-4 Revised
Which of the following statements is correct regarding the treatment of hyponatremia?	What is the recommended maximum increase of serum sodium in the treatment of hyponatremia within a 24-hour period?
Which of the following is true when converting between the calcimimetics?	What is the appropriate time frame to switch between etelcalcetide and cinacalcet?

Figure 2: Revisions to Items

16 Unfocused Stems

- Original question was "which of the following is correct?"
- Revised based on correct answer

Answer Choices and Distractors

- Retained the original question stem
- Replaced non-functioning distractors and created parallel answer choices

8 Item Templates

- Revised item was adherent to guidelines but rejected
- Provided template to facilitate manual edits

4 Streamlined Stems

- Removed extraneous information from question stem
- Retained original answer choices

FINDINGS

Enhanced Clarity: ChatGPT effectively revised unfocused question stems and aligned them with intended learning objectives

SME Review Essential: Al-generated items require vetting by subject matter experts to ensure accuracy, relevance, and alignment with the instructor's intent.

Limitations with Distractors: ChatGPT often struggled to generate plausible distractors, sometimes producing irrelevant or incorrect options.

Effective Rewording: The AI excelled at rewording text passages, addressing common item flaws like parallel distractors, and providing useful templates even when outputs were inaccurate.

Faculty Development Aid: ChatGPT's strengths in item revision can supplement faculty training programs, highlighting best practices in item construction.

REFERENCES

- 1. Götz FM, Stieger S, Reips UD. Let the algorithm speak: How to use neural networks for automatic item generation in psychological scale development. Psychol Methods. 2023.
- 2. Reynolds L, McDonell K. Prompt programming for large language models: Beyond the few-shot paradigm. Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 2021.