The balance between cell contractility and adhesion modulates provisional matrix assembly during wound closure





Laboratory for Skeletal Engineering and Regeneration

Abstract



Figure 3: Fibronectin production is essential for the healing of wounds. (A) Recycled FN is fluorescently tagged FN added in culture during tissue formation and is reused by cells to close the wound. Deposited FN is fluorescently tagged fibronectin added in culture after injury for cells to use to close the wound. Cellular FN, stained with immunofluorescence, is produced by the cells during wound closure. Merged image showing cellular and deposited FN making up the provisional matrix within the healed wound. (B) MEF cells with fibronectin knockout fail to heal in the microtissue system. (C) Integrated intensity quantifications of fibronectin production for HDF and HLF tissues at different time points after injury reveal no significant differences between the two cell types.



Figure 4: Cell type differences in adhesion and contractility. (A) Quantification of adhesion size in HLF vs. HDFneo cells adherent to 5kPa polyacrylamide substrates (red: phalloidin, green: p-paxillin). Scale bar = 50 μ um. **(B)** Quantification of traction forces generated by HLF vs. HDFneo single cell traction force levels. Forces were determined to be statistically significant via unpaired t-test. Compared to HDFs, HLFs have larger adhesions and exhibit increasing contractility levels.

Hypothesis

We hypothesize that the balance between cell-ECM contractility and adhesion modulates provisional matrix assembly to close wounds in engineered fibrous microtissues.

Emily Davis¹, Marina Uroz^{1,2}, Feng Liu³, Christopher Chen^{1,2}, Jeroen Eyckmans^{*1,2} ¹Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA, ²Wyss Institute, Harvard University, Boston, MA, ³State Key Laboratory of NonLinear Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Contractility and adhesion manipulations affect healing dynamics



Figure 5: Treatment of HLFs with contractility inhibitors did not aid in healing, despite a shift in adhesion size and traction forces. (A) IF staining of p-paxillin (green) and phalloidin (red) for cells of different groups. Scale bar = 50 um. (B) Adhesion size quantifications measured for single cells of different groups. Sizes were determined to be statistically significant via one-way ANOVA. (C) Traction forces measured for single cells of different groups. Forces were determined to be statistically significant via one-way ANOVA. (D) Gap area of tissues quantified manually every 2 hrs. post-injury for 22 hrs. N = 3, n = -15tissues per group.



Figure 6 (Left): Treatment with MnCl2 in HDFneo cells and tissues reveals an increase in adhesion size and traction forces along with failure of wound closure. (A) IF staining of p-paxillin (green) and phalloidin (red) for cells of different groups. Scale bar = 50 um. (B) Adhesion size quantifications measured for single cells of different groups. Sizes were determined to be statistically significant via unpaired t-test. (C) Traction forces measured for single cells of different groups. Forces were determined to be statistically significant via one-way ANOVA. (D) Gap area of tissues quantified manually every 2 hrs. post-injury for 22 hrs. N = 3, n = ~15 tissues per group. Figure 6 (Right): Proposed phase diagram of healing vs. failing tissues. Manipulations placed on the diagram, based on single cell adhesion size and traction force, along with the tissues ability to heal 24 hrs. post injury.

Coarse grain model to simulate altering contractility or adhesion levels



Figure 7: Coarse grain model simulations predicts modulation of healing when contractility and adhesion are altered in fibroblast tissues. (A-B): Simulations showing increased contractility healing outcomes, revealing that increasing contractility levels, causes a halt in healing in microtissues. (C-D): Simulations showing decreased adhesion healing outcomes, revealing that decreasing adhesion can cause tissues to become weak in the area of the original injury even after healing.





Figure 8: FAK inhibitor, FC11, rescues closure in HLF microtissues. (A) Immunofluorescent staining for p-paxillin (green) and phalloidin (red) for cells of different groups. Scale bar = 50 um. (B) Adhesion size quantifications measured for single cells of different groups. Sizes were determined to be significant via one-way ANOVA. (C) Traction forces measured for single cells of different groups. Forces were determined to be significant via one-way ANOVA. (D) Gap area of tissues quantified manually every 2 hrs. post-injury for 22 hrs. N = 4, n = -22 tissues per group.



Figure 9: Phase diagram of healing and failing microtissues based on single cell contractility and adhesion. An optimal range is proposed based on two manipulations that heal (shaded green). Manipulations that fall outside the range (red), fail to heal.

Discussion

- our microtissue system.

Conclusions and future work

- heal in our model system.

- during aberrant wound healing.

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, National Institutes of Health, and Hevolution. We thank WHS and SAWC for allowing us to present our research. We also thank the Biomedical Engineering Department and Biological Design Center at Boston University. For more information visit: https://eyckmanslab.com/, https://www.chrischenlab.com



BIOLOGICAL DESIGN

CENFER

and HDF microtissues. (A) DAPI (left) and cellular fibronectin (right) stains for untreated and MnCl2 treated HDF tissues. Scale bar = 50um. (B) Dapi (left) and cellular fibronectin (right) stains for untreated and FC11 treated HLF tissues.

Impact

• Together, our data support the hypothesis that the balance between contractility and adhesion levels modulate wound closure in

• Our proposed concept could inform new mechanotherapeutic strategies for treating impaired wound healing.

• In this work, we were able to use levels of contractility and adhesion size in two fibroblast cell types to push tissues to either fail or

[,] An optimal range for healing vs. failing tissues was proposed based on collected data. • Differences in provisional matrix assembly were observed when adhesion and contractility levels operated outside of this range. Future work will investigate the relationship between cell adhesion and contractility in modulating provisional matrix assembly

Acknowledgements