

INTRODUCTION

Consistency of effort is a key strategy when trying to maximize performance in high-intensity functional training (HIFT) workouts (8). For instance, a common workout design requires trainees to complete 'as many repetitions as possible' (AMRAP) of a specified circuit within a set duration (2, 8, 9). This is best accomplished by performing exercise repetitions at the fastest rate possible while minimizing transition times between exercises and avoiding failed repetitions and breaks (8). Fatigue will surely limit success in this endeavor if the trainee's physiological capacity does match their chosen pacing strategy.

Muti-ingredient pre-workout supplements might also aid in this endeavor. Many formulations contain ingredients known to enhance blood flow and provide nutrients to exercising muscle (4 - 6, 11). The one study that examined the effect of any pre-workout formulation (extracts of pomegranate, tart cherry, green and black tea) on HIFT reported improved performance in the second of two consecutive workouts after for 6 weeks of supplementation (10). Meanwhile, others have assessed the acute effects of the specific formulation under investigation (see Table 1) and reported no effect on vertical jump performance (3), but more repetitions completed across 5 sets of bench press (1). Though maintaining effort was more important to completing more bench press repetitions, performance was aided by 2 minutes or rest between sets. In contrast, rest is autoregulated in HIFT (2, 8, 9) and no study has examined the effect of any supplement on the consistency of effort during HIFT.

PURPOSE

Examine the effect of a pre-workout supplement, workout duration, and sex on exercise kinetics variability during a HIFT-style AMRAP.

- Rowing Performance
 - SD: No differences.
 - Slope: Greater reduction in power across minutes during 5-minutes bouts.
- Barbell Thruster Performance
 - SD: Velocity and power were more variable during 5-minute workouts.
 - Slope: Greater reduction in velocity and power across rounds in 5-minute bouts.

• **Box Jump Performance**

- SD: Impulse was less variable during the 5-S compared to 5-P, with men being more variable than women during all conditions. Meanwhile, peak force was less variable during placebo conditions (Figure 2).
- Slope: Greater declines in peak force and RFD across the 5-minute bouts. • No other differences were observed.

Table 2. Exercise kinetics variability comparisons											
		Standard Deviation						Slope			
			5-minute	workouts	15-minute	15-minute workouts		5-minute workouts		15-minute workouts	
			Placebo	Supplement	Placebo	Supplement	Placebo	Supplement	Placebo	Supplement	
	Strokes (per n	ninute)									
ving		Men	2.72 ± 2.06	2.5 ± 1.00	2.66 ± 1.99	2.37 ± 1.29	$\textbf{-0.90} \pm 1.81$	-0.66 ± 1.26	-0.24 ± 0.55	$\textbf{-0.16} \pm 0.57$	
		Women	1.60 ± 0.84	1.60 ± 0.90	1.95 ± 0.80	2.13 ± 0.81	$\textbf{-}0.17\pm0.89$	$\textbf{-0.43} \pm 0.68$	-0.25 ± 0.31	$\textbf{-0.19} \pm 0.43$	
KOW	Power (W)										
		Men	64.4 ± 50.6	55.3 ± 39.1	52.2 ± 54.4	44.7 ± 30.5	-34.0 ± 35.9	-29.3 ± 28.2	-11.0 ± 13.9	-10.5 ± 10.6	
		Women	32.1 ± 15.0	36.1 ± 16.0	30.9 ± 13.0	32.8 ± 11.3	-16.8 ± 12.8	$-20.3 \pm 12.1 \parallel^{\#}$	-5.9 ± 5.0	-6.6 ± 4.2	
	Velocity (m/se	ec)									
hrusters		Men	0.06 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.04 \mid_{μ}	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.01	$\textbf{-0.03}\pm0.03$	-0.04 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.01	
		Women	0.06 ± 0.03	0.06 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.03	$\textbf{-}0.02\pm0.02$	-0.02 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.01	-0.01 ± 0.01	
	Power (W)							· · · ·			
Ξ		Men	26.4 ± 11.6	29.5 ± 15.3 \mid_{μ}	17.8 ± 8.2	17.1 ± 5.7	-11.0 ± 14.0	-16.0 ± 9.5	-1.4 ± 4.4	-1.5 ± 3.5	
		Women	24.7 ± 29.0	$16.4 \pm 7.1 \; ^{\#}$	13.8 ± 6.7	13.7 ± 8.2	-9.6 ± 20.8	-5.4 ± 7.9	-0.4 ± 2.0	-1.4 ± 2.0	
	Impulse (N*se	ec)		·							
sox Jumps		Men	$26.5 \pm 11.5^*$	$23.9 \pm 9.4 *$	$21.6 \pm 7.7*$	$25.2 \pm 13.9*$	-0.2 ± 17.4	5.4 ± 16.0	-2.8 ± 6.4	0.7 ± 8.6	
		Women	15.4 ± 15.4	11.2 ± 10.4	11.7 ± 9.0	16.3 ± 10.5	-1.5 ± 8.1	-1.5 ± 3.3	-1.0 ± 4.3	-4.3 ± 5.9	
	Peak Force (N	I)									
		Men	124 ± 67	148 ± 67	76 ± 26	128 ± 56	-46 ± 65	-76 ± 75	-14 ± 37	3 ± 131	
		Women	94 ± 40	102 ± 68	83 ± 40	100 ± 49	-18 ± 41	-40 ± 60	23 ± 61	24 ± 76	
Щ	RFD (N/sec)										
		Men	1646 ± 1093	1745 ± 1154	1028 ± 449	1722 ± 1059	-252 ± 1046	-814 ± 1022	167 ± 544	-30 ± 1010	
		Women	1426 ± 771	1292 ± 877	1358 ± 801	1578 ± 833	-204 ± 642	$-365 \pm 652 $ $\#$	298 ± 812	422 ± 1089	
* =	$\frac{1}{2}$ = Significantly ($p < 0.05$) different between men and women; $\#$ = Significantly ($p < 0.05$) different between workout durations.										

DURATION AFFECTS MULTIPLE PACING STRATEGY COMPONENTS DURING A HIGH-INTENSITY FUNCTIONAL TRAINING WORKOUT

Chaves W, Burks I, Henley J, Hines A, Fanno J, Staples C, Grazer J, Esmat TA, McLester J, and Mangine GT Department of Exercise Science and Sport Management, Kennesaw State University, Kennesaw, GA



