Robotic Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for High-Risk Prostate Cancer: The Georgetown Experience

Georgetown | Lombardi COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

Vaibhav Sharma¹, Zach Lee¹, Padraig Brennan Pilkington¹, Malika Danner, M.D.¹, Alan Zwart¹, Marilyn Ayoob¹, Thomas Yung¹, Deepak Kumar, Ph.D.², Michael Carrasquilla, M.D.¹, Simeng Suy, Ph.D.¹, and Sean P. Collins, M.D., Ph.D.¹

¹Department of Radiation Medicine, Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC, USA ²Biotechnology Research Institute, North Carolina Central University, Durham, NC, USA

Frequency was most common GU Introduction & Synopsis Favorable Biochemical Control Rate GU & GI Toxicities Remain Low & GI Toxicity Urgency Introduction: Long-term patient reported outcomes for high-100 25 20 risk prostate cancer patients receiving stereotactic body 80 **Overall Bowel** 15 radiotherapy is limited. Robotic SBRT allows for targeted Frequency 60 Problem guidance and high precision of dose delivery, which may result 40 in improved outcomes. This study sought to evaluate in 20 genitourinary and gastrointestinal toxicities as well as report Fecal SBRT failure characteristics in patients receiving SBRT for prostate **Rectal Pain** ~~ 2 20 Incontinence 12 18 24 0 3 6 cancer. GU Time following SBRT (Months) Follow Up time (Months) **Bloody Stools** Synopsis: In this single institution cohort, the rates of GI and Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier Curve for Biochemical Control GU toxicities remain low with favorable biochemical failure Rate. Recurrence was determined by clinical rate. Dysuria symptomatology, and digital rectal examination, and 100 25 encompassing rising PSA levels. 20 80 15 60 10 GU Urinary Methods Ê 40 Hematuria **Failure Characteristics** Frequency (Me 20 6 v v v v Percen (1) 216 patients with high-risk Patient prostate cancer patients treated Weak Stream (%) PSA ng/n with fiducial guided SBRT from (N = Follow Up time (Months) Initial 216) December 2008 to July 2023. Nadir Race leaking > 1 (2) Patients were treated with 35-Recurren White 50 time per day 100 Black 39 Time To 36.25 Gy to the PTV delivered Hispanic 2 80 20 (Mea in in five fractions of either 6, 7, 10 Other 15 frequent 7.25, or 8 Gy using CyberKnife. 60 **Overall urinary** Age Gleason 10 dribbling/no <60 problem (3) Prostate specific antigen (PSA) Sco G6 40 control 60-69 25 **G**7 levels were obtained and 70-79 39 20 G8 >80 33 prostate cancer-specific QOL G9 Gleason Ξ questionnaires were administered leaking Dose (Gy 6 2 ~~ Score 20 any pad use before the first SBRT treatment 3 + 3 = 6 problem 35 ۵. 36.25 3 + 4 = 712 (baseline), 3 months, 6 months, 12, 4 + 3 = 711 Follow Up time (Months) <u>DRE</u> months, 18 months, 24 months, and Baseline 4 + 4 = 8 47 Abnorma ------ 3 month 36 months after completion of 3 + 5 = 8 4 Normal 6 month 17 4 + 5 = 9radiation therapy. 12 month Figure 2. Mean EPIC GI and GU Domain Patter of 5 + 5 = 10 18 month (4) Differences in QOL score were Bone Initial PSA summary scores at baseline and following 24 month Local <10 38 36 month assessed. Recurrence was assessed SBRT for prostate cancer. Clinically PSA Onl 10-20 23 during follow-up which significant changes (+/- 0.5* SD from >20 39 Abdomer Figure 3. Radar Plots for percentage of baseline). Scores range from 0 - 100 with incorporated clinical Pelvis patients experiencing specific GU & GI Yes 75 higher scores reflecting favorable quality of symptomatology, digital rectal symptoms at follow up. 25 life examination, and rising PSA levels. Figure 5. Clinical characteristics of recurrences Figure 1. Patient **Characteristics**

Georgetown | Lombardi

COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

	<u>Average (Range)</u>
ml	
	22.9 (1.3 - 6)
	1.82 (0.1 - 34)
ice	22.03 (0.39 - 429.8)
Failure (Months)	40 (3 - 131)
	Percent Patients (%) (N=33)
Score	
	3%
	24%
	36%
	36%
y).	
	18%
	82%
al	55%
	45 %
<u>f failure</u>	
	42%
	21%
у	30%
n	15%
	15%

Results/Discussion

EPIC Domain Scores: GU Incontinence scores decreased from an initial baseline value of 86.04 to 84.4 at the 36-month follow up. GU irritative/obstructive score increased from baseline of 83.4 to 87.4 at 36 months. Bowel Score slightly decreased from 92.7 to 90.6. None of the reductions were statistically significant.

Specific Domain: Urinary frequency and pad usage was the most common urinary symptom seen at baseline as well as 36 months. Urinary frequency decreased from 24% of patients to 17% while pad usage slightly increased from 9% to 10%. The most frequent bowel problem at baseline was urgency at 5% and frequency at 6%. Urgency remained constant 5% at 36 month follow up and frequency increased from 3% to 6% at 36 months.

Recurrence: Total of 33 recurrences were observed. 23 occurred within 36 months indicating a biochemical disease-free survival of 89% in 3 years. 4 occurred within 12 months. Average time to failure was 40 months and median time to failure was 36 months. Average initial PSA was 22.9 ng/ml, average PSA Nadir value was 1.82 ng/ml posttreatment, and average PSA recurrence value was 22.03 ng/ml. 70% of recurrences received ADT. Recurrences in bone were the most common

Discussion: SBRT for high-risk prostate cancer has excellent GU and GI toxicity alongside promising 3-year biochemical recurrence-free survival rates. 70% of the recurrences occurred within the first 36 indicating the importance of active surveillance within the first 3 years post-radiotherapy. While nodal failures were rare among our subjects, the absence of prophylactic pelvic nodal radiation prompts consideration for optimized treatment strategies in managing high-risk prostate cancer. Further, we hypothesize many of recurrences may have been present pre-radiotherapy and usage of PSMA in workup may result in better detection.

References

1. Brawley, O. W. Trends in prostate cancer in the United States. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2012, 152-156 (2012).

- 2. Cooperberg, M. R., Cowan, J., Broering, J. M. & Carroll, P. R. High-Risk Prostate Cancer n the United States, 1990-2007. World J Urol 26, 211 (2008).
- 3. Lee, W. R. et al. Randomized phase III noninferiority study comparing two

radiotherapy fractionation schedules in patients with low-risk prostate cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology 34, 2325–2332 (2016).

4. Brand, D. H. et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy versus stereotactic body radiotherapy for prostate cancer (PACE-B): 2-year toxicity results from an open-label, randomised, phase 3, noninferiority trial. Articles Lancet Oncol 23, 1308–1328 (2022).