Clinical evaluation of a novel, non-electromechanical single-use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) system for the management of closed surgical incisions

¹Auckland Regional Plastic Surgery Unit & Middlemore Clinical Trials, Auckland, Zealand. ²Middlemore Spinal Unit & Middlemore Clinical Trials, Auckland, New Zealand. ³Aatru Medical, LLC, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; now at Buan Consulting Solutions, MN, USA ⁴Aatru Medical, LLC Cleveland & UH Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA. ⁵ Robin Martin, PhD Scientific Consulting, Foggathorpe, UK.

1.Background

Closed incision negative pressure wound therapy (ciNPWT) has been shown shown to reduce SSI by around 40%: N = 44 trials N = 5693 patients (Shiroky et al (2020). However, adoption is limited by the cost of electromechanical devices. This study describes the first clinical evaluation of a low cost of manufacture non-electromechanical single-use NPWT system for the management of closed surgical incisions.

2. Materials & Methods

The novel solid-state device* has no electrical or mechanical components. Activation of a chemical reaction generates negative pressure in the rigid vacuum chamber by removal of oxygen which is 21% of atmospheric pressure.

If all oxygen was removed the negative pressure would be 21% x 760 mmHg = -159.6 mmHg.

In practice an initial pressure of -100±5mmHg gradually declines over 7 days to -60mmHg (nominally 80mmHg ± 20mmHg) as air diffuses in through the dressing's permeable outer membrane.



Vacuum chamber

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04488666) registered 27th July 2020. New Zealand HDEC approved (20/STH/144) on 9th November 2020 for up to 30 patients. First patient recruited on 26th January 2021last patient 26th August 2023. Primary endpoint was the number of days of delivery of negative pressure to the wound.







Jon A Mathy, MD FACS FRACS¹, Alpesh Patel, MBChB FRACS (Ortho)², John S. Buan, PhD³, Jeffrey Ustin, MD MSc⁴ and Robin Martin, PhD⁵

3. Results

	Consent obta	ained - entry to study	/
Day	1 - Surgical procedure	followed by applica	tion of NPSIMS
	Day 1 - Post-op hospit	al stay and/or discha	arge home
	Ļ		
Daily	<pre>v observation of NPSIM</pre>	IS for retention of ne	gative pressure
	•		
iy 7 – return to	clinic for removal of N usabil i	IPSIMS, ASEPSIS woι i ty assessments	ind healing, pain scores and
Day 14 –	Telephone/video follo	w up for pain and sc	ar assessment score
	Day 30 – Tele	ohone/video follow	ир
	*	Exit study	
	Total annualised (n-22	Diactic currory	Spipal surgery (p-10)
	iotal enrolled (n=23	(n=10)	Spinal surgery (n=10)
ge (years)	65.0 ± 11.9	70.7 ± 10.2 (10)	60.9 ± 11.9 (10)
male	10/23 (43.5%)	3/10 (30.0 %)	5/10 (50.0%)
ИI (kg / m²)	30.9 ± 6.8 (23)	28.3 ± 3.9 (10)	33.2 ± 8.1 (10)
	Length of	incisions (cm)	
lean ± SD	7.6 ± 2.6	6.0 ± 1.5	3.4 ± 2.5

This study was sponsored by Aatru Medical, LLC Ohio, USA. Presented at Spring SAWC, May 14-18 2024, Orlando, FL,



the device.

4. Conclusion.

A first-in-man clinical study has shown that a novel, low cost, closed incision NPWT device, in which vacuum is provided by an oxygen-consuming chemical reaction, is able to generate and maintain negative pressure for a mean of 5.9 days of negative pressure delivered per device. The device was used on a range of closed incisions at different anatomical locations. The device was well tolerated, silent, and shown to be convenient and easy to use.

5. References.

Shiroky et al (2020). "The Impact of Negative Pressure Wound Therapy for Closed Surgical Incisions on Surgical Site Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." Surgery 167(6):1001–9.

A total of 9 adverse events were recorded – 2 were attributed to