Introduction





Figure 1. Representative clinical images highlighting the presence of tunnels in HS (black oval).

- Hidradenitis Suppurativa (HS) is a chronic inflammatory condition characterized by nodules, abscesses, and tunnels.
- HS tunnels act as "infected wounds" inside the dermis and produce significant pain, malodorous drainage, and scarring.
- Tunnels contribute to the tremendous psychosocial burden of HS and demonstrate high levels of resistance and recurrence even with biologic therapy. Surgical excision is often the only effective option.
- These tunnels require aggressive wound care even as a routine measure; and additional wound care is needed if these lesions are excised.
- Extensive wound care increases the burden to patients, caregivers, and their families and of course increases financial burdens.
- Our overarching goal is to optimize wound care for patients living with HS by reducing healing times and preventing the recurrence of tunnels.



- Bioelectric wound dressings (BEWDs) utilize embedded copper and zinc particles to catalyze redox reactions in the presence of fluids.
- Wireless bioelectric wound dressings offer benefits such as reducing proinflammatory cytokines, providing antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects, and promoting keratinocyte migration.
- We hypothesize that bioelectric wound dressings (BEWD) modulate the skin microbiome in HS



Figure 2. Bioelectric Wound Dressing Technology

Results



Figure 4. Skin microbiome composition in hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) lesional tissue as compared to post-deroofing excision wound tissue treated with BEWD. Relative abundance (%) of genera (B) and species (C) from BEWD sites before and after treatment with overall most abundant genera displayed. (D) Shannon diversity index did not differ between week 0 and week 4 (not shown, p=0.862); however, (E) beta diversity as measured by Bray-Curtis demonstrated a significant difference between BEWD sites at week 0 and week 4 (p=0.018)



Figure 5. Skin microbiome composition in hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) lesional tissue as compared to post-deroofing excision wound tissue treated in SOC-treated

Figure 3. Average LogCFU (A) (n=12) were calculated at Week 0, Week 2, and Week 4 in both axillae and repeated measures-ANOVA was used to compare timepoints within the treatment. There were no significant differences in bacterial load at each timepoint in the group treated with SOC (p=0.89, p=0.97). A statistically significant reduction in bacterial load was noted between week 0 and week 2 (p=0.0164) and between week 0 and week 4 (p=0.0124) in the group treated with BEWD.











Figure 6. Genera specific changes after BEWD application. Relative abundance (%) of genera from BEWD sites at week 0 and week 4. Multiple genera demonstrated differences between week 0 and week 4. Genera that decreased with BEWD application includes *Peptinophilus* (p=0.008), *Parvimonas* (p=0.038), and *Clostridium* (p=0.001). The genera shown to increase after BEWD application included Cutibacterium (p=0.023), Lactobacillus (p=0.018), Bacillus (p=0.006), and Enterococcus (p=0.035).

Conclusion & Ongoing Work

- alongside reduced recurrence
- as early as week 4
- are not seen in SOC-treated axillae.
- mechanistic studies

Results

Patients demonstrated lower recurrence rates with BEWD when compared to standard of care dressing

Reduction in bacterial load in axillae treated with BEWD,

Microbial composition shifts after use of BEWD and is seen

Changes in microbial diversity or genera-specifc variations

Inverse relationship between Gram-negative anaerobes and skin commensal species in BEWD-treated axillae

We highlight a greater need for deeper sequencing of the HS microbiome accompanied by functional analyses and

Acknowledgements